Suspicion in the Making

Everyday Policing against Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing

INTRODUCTION

- 1) Financial Policing 'the ultimate search for the needle in the haystack'
- 2) Suspicious Transactions Reports at the heart of financial policing
- 3) Suspicion less an event than a process
- Research Question:
 - How is a reasonable ground to suspect determined on a daily basis?
 - When do reporting entities start regarding a transaction or a set of transactions as suspicious enough to be reported?

1) On (ab)normality

• 'The production of suspicion is therefore driven first by a theory of 'normality': certain objects or people are supposed to be in certain places, doing certain things' (Chan, 2007: 56).

Modes of Policing to assemble suspicion:

- 1- Surveillance
- 2- Risk management
- 3- A set of rules to draw attention to any behavior that disrupt the 'normal'

1.1) When the <u>abnormal</u> comes first

- A Critical Assemblage for (Proto)deductive reasoning:
 - 1) Official indicators of abnormality (278)
 - 2) Client Knowledge (of / about)
 - 3) Context
 - With/without/beyond official indicators Bankers' margin of manœuvre

1.2) When the <u>normal</u> comes first

- '[The indicators] are not intended to cover every possible situation':
 - A proto-inductive mode of reasoning
- The constitution and classification of 'client species'
 - 1) Global species
 - 2) **Cultural** species
 - 3) Towards the algorithmic constitution of **Transactional** species

1.3) Conclusion on the (ab)normal

• Not one form of (ab)normality but a set of...

<u>Generic / Global / Categorical / Relational / Individual</u> **forms of (ab)normality**

2) On enigma resolution

- The characterization of detected abnormalities two categories:
 - 1) Acceptable peculiarities (non reported)
 - 2) Suspicious anomalies (reported)

2.1) 'Suspicion as an argumentative move'

'Suspicion is in the eye of... well it's not, there's a legal definition, but it is very much in the eye of the beholder'.

A matter of interpretation and argument:

- 1) Between banks
- 2) Within banks
- 3) Between the regulator and the regulated

2.2) From detected abnormalities to STRs

Ultimately, when and why does an investigated case of abnormality lead to a disclosure?

- Two generic and opposite reporting situations:
 - 1) The investigation is closed with one 'obvious' proposition
 - 2) Impossible to close the investigation and get any 'obvious' proposition (the 'does not make sense' narrative)

2.3) Towards a Hunch-Based Model?

- A minority of suspicious transactions reports:
 - 'Transferred Suspicion'
 - 'Visibly Suspicious'
- A majority of unusual transactions reports:
 - From deduction and induction to <u>ABDUCTION</u>

The Qualification Process of Suspiciousness

• 'The process of policing has to be understood as a result of the complex interplay of legal, organizational, occupational, situational, and interactional rules. And what is true of police work in general is also true of police suspicion in particular. Nothing is inherently suspicious, it only becomes so when it is interpreted though the lens of police relevancies and seen through the light of local situated knowledge'. (Norris, 2003: 252)

CONCLUSION

- Under comparable risk management frameworks, the production of suspicious transactions reports relies first and foremost on a mix between:
 - 1)Contextual appropriation of shared-recipe knowledge about (ab)normalities
 - 2)Technologically driven digital surveillance
 - 3)Inferential modes of reasoning
 - 4)A common sense approach based on social and professional habitus.
 - Financial Policing the Polysemy of Suspicion in Practice